

REMARKS

This is responsive to the Examiner's Office Action mailed on June 19, 2007. At the time the Examiner mailed the Office Action claims 41-76 were pending. No amendments to the claims have been made. The Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the present application and the allowance of all claims now presented.

Double Patenting

Claims 41-76 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-40 of U.S. Patent No. 6,621,503

In light of the common ownership between the current application and U.S. Patent No. 6,621,503, Applicant hereby files a terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) to overcome the obviousness-type double patenting rejection in view of claims 41-76 of the instant Application. Without admitting that the double patenting rejection is proper, Applicant has submitted signed terminal disclaimer pursuant to 37 CFR 1.321(c) which is concurrently filed herewith the Applicant's Response.

CONCLUSION


For the reasons provided above, Applicant respectfully submits that the current set of claims are allowable. If the Examiner believes an additional telephone conference would expedite or assist in the allowance of the present application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned at (408) 720-8300.

Authorization is hereby given to charge our Deposit Account No.02-2666 for any charges that may be due.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Dated: Sept. 18, 2007



James C. Scheller, Jr.
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 31,195

1279 Oakmead Parkway
Sunnyvale, California
94085-4040
(408) 720-8300